

Proposed Crosswalk Examples

Example 1

An MA organization offers non-segmented Plan 001 and Plan 002 in CY2014 and Plan 002 in CY2016. Plan 001 is consolidated and the membership is formally crosswalked to plan 002 for CY2015. For CY2016, the service area for Plan 002 is reduced to remove most of the counties formerly in Plan 001 and an insignificant proportion of the members that were formerly in Plan 001 remain. The certifying actuary sets the significance threshold at 40%.

Members in Plan 001 are crosswalked as shown in the table below.

	CY2014	CY2015	CY2016
Counties A...C	200 in Plan 001	→ 200 Plan 002	→ 0 Plan 002
County D	<u>100</u> in Plan 001	→ 100 Plan 002	<u>100</u> in Plan 002
Total	300		100

The proportion of Plan 001 members remaining in Plan 002 after taking into account the CY2015 crosswalks from Plan 001 to Plan 002 and the CY2016 service area reduction for Plan 002 is 100/300 or 33%. Since this percentage is below the 40% threshold established by the certifying actuary, DO NOT aggregate data for Plan 001/Plan 002 in the Plan 002 BPT; report base period experience only for Plan 002.

Example 2

In 2014 Plan 001 had 100 members. In 2015 50 members of Plan 001 stayed in 001 and the other 50 members were crosswalked to Plan 002 via MARx enrollment transactions. In 2016, Plan 001 again split with 25 members staying in 001 and the other 25 being crosswalked to Plan 002 via MARx enrollment transactions. The certifying actuary sets the significance threshold at 60%.

Members in Plan 001 are crosswalked as shown in the table below.

	CY2014	CY2015	CY2016	Plan 001 Members Remaining in Plan 002
County A	25 in Plan 001	25 in Plan 001	25 in Plan 001	N/A
County B	25 in Plan 001	25 in Plan 001	→ 25 Plan 002	25
County C	<u>50</u> in Plan 001	→ 50 Plan 002	50 in Plan 002	<u>50</u>
Total	100			75

The proportion of Plan 001 members in the Plan 002 bid for CY2016, resulting from both the CY2015 and CY2016 crosswalks is 75/100 or 75%. Since this percentage is above the 60% threshold established by the certifying actuary, Plan 001 and Plan 002 data aggregation is required in the CY2016 BPT for Plan 002.

Plan 001 base period experience is also reported in the Plan 001 CY2016 BPT since Plan 001 is an ongoing plan.

Example 3

Plan 001 covers counties A through Y in 2014 and undergoes an exception-based crosswalk in 2015 through MARx enrollment transactions, whereby counties B through Y are crosswalked to Plan 002 and county A is not.

Plan 002 undergoes a service area reduction in 2016, whereby counties B through X are terminated. Plan 002 also undergoes a service area expansion for new county Z that does not involve an exception based crosswalk since the Plan sponsor does not currently offer a plan in county Z. Therefore, only counties Y and Z are in the service area of Plan 002 for 2016.

The certifying actuary sets the significance threshold at 25%.

Members in Plan 001 and Plan 002 are crosswalked as shown in the table below.

	CY2014	CY2015	CY2016	CY2016 Plan 001 Members Remaining in Plan 002
County A	200 in Plan 001	200 in Plan 001	200 in Plan 001	N/A
Counties B...X	500 in Plan 001	→ 500 Plan 002	→ 0 Plan 002	0
County Y	<u>300</u> in Plan 001	→ 300 Plan 002	300 in Plan 002	<u>300</u>
Total	1000			300

The proportion of Plan 001 members in the Plan 002 bid for CY2016, resulting from both the CY2015 and CY2016 crosswalks and the CY2016 service area reduction for Plan 002 is 300/1000 or 30%. Since this percentage is above the 25% threshold established by the certifying actuary, Plan 001 and Plan 002 data aggregation is required in the CY2016 BPT for Plan 002.

Plan 001 base period experience is also reported in the Plan 001 CY2016 BPT since Plan 001 is an ongoing plan.